This material may be protected by copyright law (Title 17 U.S. Code).

Childhood Upper Respiratory Tract Infections: To What Degree Is Incidence Affected by Day-Care Attendance?

NOTICE
This material may be protected by copyright law (Title 17 U.S. Code).

David W. Fleming, MD, Stephen L. Cochi, MD, Allen W. Hightower, MS, and Claire V. Broome, MD

From the Meningitis and Special Pathogens Epidemiology Branch and Statistical Services Activity, Division of Bacterial Diseases, Centers for Disease Control, Atlanta

ABSTRACT. Risk factors for acute upper respiratory tract disease in childhood were evaluated in a population-based sample of the Atlanta metropolitan area. Mothers from 449 households containing 575 children less than 5 years of age were selected by random-digit dialing and questioned about upper respiratory tract infection and ear infection occurring in their children during the preceding 2 weeks. Household demographic and socioeconomic characteristics, maternal smoking history and child day-care attendance and breast-feeding information were also obtained. For children less than 5 years of age, the reported incidence of upper respiratory tract infection was 24%, and of ear infection, 6%. Controlling for the other variables measured, day-care attendance was associated with a significantly increased risk of both illnesses. For upper respiratory tract infection, increased risk was present for all children attending day care ($P = .02$, odds ratio = 1.6), whereas for ear infection, risk could be demonstrated only for full-time attendees ($P = .005$, odds ratio = 3.8). Maternal smoking was a second independent risk factor for a child's having upper respiratory tract infection (odds ratio = 1.7, $P = .01$). Thirty-one percent of all upper respiratory tract infection among day-care attendees and 66% of all ear infections among full-time day-care attendees were attributable to day-care attendance. Given the proportion of children in day care, 9% to 14% of the total burden of upper respiratory tract disease in this population was day care related. As use of child day-care facilities has increased, this specific exposure has become a major factor contributing to transmission of acute upper respiratory tract disease in childhood. *Pediatrics* 1987;79:55–60; *upper respiratory tract infection, day-care attendance.*

Infections of the upper respiratory system are the most common illnesses affecting children less than 5 years of age in the developed world. Although these illnesses, including acute upper respiratory tract infection and otitis media, may occasionally progress to more severe disease, most often they are self-limited. Despite their relatively benign nature, however, upper respiratory tract infectious illnesses are important causes of childhood morbidity, and their treatment consumes a substantial portion of available health care resources.[1]

During the past decade, it has been demonstrated that risk of a number of childhood infections, including hepatitis,[2] diarrheal diseases,[3] and invasive *Haemophilus influenzae*,[4] is increased by day-care attendance. During this same time, the number of children younger than 5 years of age in the United States who are enrolled in day care has undergone a dramatic increase.[5] Although several studies have suggested that the risk of upper respiratory tract disease may be increased for some day-care attendees,[6–8] the importance of this association has not been well defined.

In this study, we examined risk factors for acquisition of infections of the upper respiratory system in children less than 5 years of age and specifically evaluated the role played by day-care attendance. Using population-based data, we determined the amount of illness attributable to this increasingly common childhood exposure.

METHODS

A cross section of all households containing children less than 5 years of age in Atlanta was surveyed by telephone from mid-July through mid-September 1984.

Sampling Procedure

Telephone numbers consisting of prefixes serving the study area and four randomly selected final

Received for publication Feb 18, 1986; accepted May 28, 1986.
Reprint requests to (D.W.F.) Centers for Disease Control, Division of Bacterial Diseases, Atlanta, GA 30333.
PEDIATRICS (ISSN 0031 4005). Copyright © 1987 by the American Academy of Pediatrics.

2023510261

Source: https://www.industrydocuments.ucsf.edu/docs/jfpx0000

digits were generated by computer. Every possible telephone number in the seven counties composing the metropolitan area (population 1.9 million) had an equal likelihood of being selected and called; no call-clustering techniques were used. Each number selected was called at least twice during business hours and at least six times during evenings and weekends before being discarded. Only households with children less than 5 years of age were enrolled.

Questionnaire Administration

Using a standardized questionnaire, trained interviewers obtained informed consent and then collected information from the guardian of the children in the household, preferably the mother. Data obtained included household demographic and socioeconomic characteristics, current maternal smoking history, and current breast-feeding and day-care attendance information for all children less than 5 years of age. All children within a given household were enrolled to ensure that our sample accurately represented all children in the study area with respect to household size and other related characteristics. A 15% sample of completed questionnaires was validated with a follow-up telephone call; no child's illness or day-care status was reclassified as a result of these calls.

Definitions

History of recent acute respiratory infection (cough, cold, or ear infection) was obtained directly from the child's guardian.[6,7,9] Because independent physician confirmation of illness was not required, we have used the term "ear infection" rather than otitis media to denote parental reported cases of infections of the ear. Criteria including antibiotic administration and physician visit were used if respondents needed clarification. We did not attempt to identify specific etiologic agents. Incidence of disease rather than duration of symptoms was assessed. To limit interviewer and respondent bias, illness history was elicited before parents were asked about day-care attendance. Children were considered case children if they had been ill with upper respiratory tract infection or ear infection at any time during the 2 weeks before the interview was conducted. Day care was defined as regular (>4 h/wk) supervised care of at least two unrelated children. Each child's day-care status was determined individually, based on enrollment at the time of interview. Part-time enrollment was defined as five to 39 hours' attendance per week and full-time as 40 or more hours per week.

Analysis

Two analyses of risk factors were undertaken, one for children reported to have upper respiratory tract infection and the other for children reported to have ear infection. An automatic interaction detection program was used to assist in selection of variables for inclusion in an unconditional logistic regression model. Only associations that were biologically plausible were considered. We did not attempt to analyze or control for transmission of illness within households because we could not distinguish between primary and secondary cases. The number of children younger than 5 years in the household, a variable included in the model, may serve as a surrogate for intrafamilial spread. Final models were obtained by first putting all candidate variables into the model and then eliminating any variable that was not significant and whose elimination did not alter the odds ratio estimates of significant variables by more than 15%. Etiologic fractions among exposed groups (EFe) were calculated by the formula: EFe = (probability of disease in exposed − probability of disease in unexposed)/(probability of disease in exposed) and were standardized for the entire population by weighting the values from individual strata according to the percentage of the population represented by that strata. The disease probabilities used were those determined by the regression model.

RESULTS

A total of 3,952 households in the study area were surveyed. Of these, 3,387 contained no children younger than 5 years, 78 were unwilling to answer whether children were present and 487 contained at least one young child. Of these latter households, complete interviews were obtained for 449 (92%). Twenty-six percent of households (118) contained more than one child, and information regarding illness was collected for 575 children.

Upper Respiratory Tract Infection

Twenty-four percent of the children surveyed (139/575) were reported to have had an upper respiratory tract infection during the 2 weeks before the interview. The incidence of reported illness was divided equally by sex with 24% of both boys (75/307) and girls (64/268) affected. Race did not appear to be a significant risk factor; illness was reported for 23% of white children (96/421), 27% of black children (40/146), and 40% of children of other races (4/10). The frequency of upper respiratory tract infection did vary somewhat with age; incidence in children younger than 36 months was 27% (91/338), and in children 36 months or older, 20% (47/232).

On univariate analysis, children who attended

2023510262

Source: https://www.industrydocuments.ucsf.edu/docs/jfpx0000

day-care facilities appeared to be more likely than children who did not attend to have had symptoms of an upper respiratory tract infection during the 2 weeks preceding the interview (32% [55/175] of attendees *v* 21% [84/400] of nonattendees; $P = .01$, χ^2). A significant difference in risk between part-time and full-time attendance could not be demonstrated, although there was a suggestive trend in children younger than 36 months (42% [23/55] incidence in full-time attendees *v* 28% [11/39] in part-time attendees, $P = .2$, Fisher exact test). The type of day-care facility, ie, residential *v* nonresidential, and the length of time the child had been attending were not statistically associated with the likelihood of upper respiratory tract infection.

The association of day-care attendance with upper respiratory tract infection was further evaluated by logistic regression in a model that contained other variables considered to be possible risk factors for disease. These variables included family income, crowding (dichotomized at less than *v* equal to or more than one person per room), and number of children less than 5 years of age, maternal smoking, and child's race and age (dichotomized at 36 months). Current breast-feeding was included as a possible protective factor in children less than 6 months of age.

In this model, children who attended day care were significantly more likely than children who did not attend to have had a parent-reported upper respiratory tract infection during the 2 weeks before interview (odds ratio = 1.6, $P = .02$, Fig 1). In addition to day-care attendance, a second factor, maternal smoking, was also associated with increased risk of upper respiratory tract infection (odds ratio = 1.7, $P = .01$). The effects of day-care attendance and maternal smoking were independent of one another. Child's age, although itself not a risk factor (odds ratio = 1.2, $P = .4$), did significantly modify the effect of a third variable, household crowding. Living in crowded conditions was significantly associated with upper respiratory tract infection in children younger than 36 months (odds ratio = 2.4, $P = .02$) but not in children 36 months or older (odds ratio = 0.6, $P = .4$). No statistically significant association with risk of upper respiratory tract infection was seen for family income, number of children less than 5 years, and child's race, and no protective benefit of breast-feeding could be demonstrated (Table 1).



Fig 1. Probability of upper respiratory tract infection according to age, crowding, maternal smoking, and day-care status.

Clustering of illnesses within households did not seem to significantly affect the association of upper respiratory tract infection with day-care attendance. This relationship in households with only one child less than 5 years of age was similar to that in households with two ill children (odds ratio = 1.73 *v* 1.72), and the prevalence of day-care attendance in ill children from households containing no other children less than 5 years was similar to that observed in children from households with another ill sibling (41% [35/85] *v* 40% [12/30]).

Ear Infection

Six percent (34/575) of children less than 5 years of age were reported to have had an ear infection during the 2 weeks before the interview. Ear infection was reported more often for boys than girls (7.2% *v* 4.5%), but this difference was not statistically significant. Black children and white children were affected equally (6.1%); none of the ten children of other races were reported ill. Compared with upper respiratory tract infection, the incidence of ear infection was more influenced by age. Incidence was 8.6% (29/337) in children 0 to 35 months of age and 2.1% (5/233) in children 3 or 4 years of age. Children with ear infection were significantly more likely than children without ear infection to have had upper respiratory tract infection symptoms during the preceding 2 weeks (65% [22/34]) *v* 22% [116/535]; odds ratio = 6, $P < .001$, Fisher exact test).

Univariate analysis suggested that, as with upper respiratory tract infection, children attending day

TABLE 1. Variables Not Included in Final Upper Respiratory Tract Infection Model

Variable	Odds Ratio (Point Estimate)	*P* Value
No. of children <5 yr	0.7	.17
Race	1.1	.76
Breast-feeding	1.0	.98
Income ($)		
0–19,999	1.0	
10–34,999	1.5	.14
≥35,000	1.0	.91

2023510263

Source: https://www.industrydocuments.ucsf.edu/docs/jfpx0000

care were at increased risk for development of ear infection. For ear infection, however, only children who attended a day-care facility 40 or more hours per week could be shown to be at increased risk. This association with full-time attendance was present when either all children or only children younger than 36 months were evaluated (Table 2). Although the number of children with ear infection who attended day-care full time was relatively small, the type of day-care facility, ie, residential *v* nonresidential, and the length of time the child had been attending did not appear to be associated with increased risk of disease.

The association between full-time day-care attendance and ear infection was evaluated in a logistic regression model containing the same variables that were used for the upper respiratory tract infection analysis. Concomitant upper respiratory tract infection was not considered as a separate risk factor because this illness may, in many instances, represent an intermediate step between exposure to a risk factor and ear infection.[8,10] Clustering of ear infections within a household occurred only once and, thus, was not a factor in analysis. In the ear infection model, full-time day-care attendance was strongly associated with increased risk of ear infection (odds ratio = 3.2, $P = .005$). Age was a second important predictor of disease, with children younger than 36 months at higher risk than children 36 months of age or older (odds ratio = 3.3, $P = .02$). Among young children, as with upper respiratory tract infection, crowding was an important factor predicting disease (odds ratio = 3.4, $P = .01$); in the older age group, data were insufficient to assess the effect of this variable (Fig 2). For ear infection, family income, number of children less than 5 years of age, maternal smoking, and child's race and breast-feeding status were not significantly associated with risk (Table 3). Two factors, maternal smoking and part-time day-care attendance, which were associated with the risk of upper respiratory tract infection, were not associated with the risk of ear infection. This finding may be due to the smaller numbers of children with ear infections and consequent lack of statistical power or alternatively to actual differences in risk factors for these two syndromes.

TABLE 2. Incidence of Ear Infection by Day-Care Attendance Status for All Children and Children 0 to 35 Months of Age

Day-Care Attendance Status	Incidence of Ear Infection (%)	
	All Children	0–35 Mo
Nonattendees	4.8 (19/395)	7.0 (17/244)
Part-time attendees	4.1 (3/73)	5.3 (2/38)
Full-time attendees	11.7 (12/102)	18.2 (10/55)
Status not available	(0/5)	(0/1)
Total	5.9 (34/575)	8.7 (29/338)



Fig 2. Probability of ear infection according to age, crowding, and day-care status.

TABLE 3. Variables Not Included in Final Ear Infection Model

Variable	Odds Ratio (Point Estimate)	*P* Value
No. of children <5 yr	0.7	.43
Maternal smoking	1.1	.82
Race	1.0	.93
Breast-feeding	1.9	.32
Income ($)		
0–19,999	1.0	
20–34,999	0.9	.87
≥35,000	0.8	.73

Attributable Risk

Perhaps the most meaningful measure of the amount of upper respiratory tract disease associated with day-care attendance is the etiologic fraction among the exposed children or $EFe_{(day\text{-}care)}$, which can be interpreted as the proportion of respiratory illness among children who attend day care that is directly related ("attributable") to this exposure.

In this study, the $EFe_{(day\text{-}care)}$ for upper respiratory tract infection, adjusted for the other variables shown to be associated with upper respiratory tract infection, was 31%. Thus, approximately one third of upper respiratory tract infections in children who attend day care may be attributable to this specific exposure. The $EFe_{(day\text{-}care)}$ for upper respiratory tract infections varied slightly by age and was 30% for children younger than 36 months and 33% for children 36 months of age or older.

For ear infections, the $EFe_{(full\text{-}time\ day\text{-}care)}$ was 66%, standardized for the other variables shown to be associated with ear infection, and thus approximately two thirds of ear infection contracted by full-time day-care attendees may be directly re-

2023510264

Source: https://www.industrydocuments.ucsf.edu/docs/jfpx0000

TABLE 4. Etiologic Fraction Among Exposed Groups ($EFe_{day\text{-}care}$) and Population Attributable Risk of Upper Respiratory Tract Infection and Ear Infection Associated with Day-Care Attendance

Child's Infection and Age (Mo)	$EFe_{day\text{-}care}$	Children Attending Day-Care (%)	Population Attributable Risk (%)
Upper respiratory tract			
0–35	.30	29	9
≥36	.33	34	11
Ear infection			
0–35	.64	16	10
≥36	.68	20	14

lated to that specific exposure. The age-specific $EFe_{(full\text{-}time\ day\text{-}care)}$ for ear infection was 64% for children 0 to 35 months of age, those at highest risk, and 68% for children 3 and 4 years of age.

The amount of upper respiratory tract disease in all young children that is directly related to day-care attendance (the etiologic fraction among the population, also called the population attributable risk) depends not only on the proportion of illness related to attendance but also on the proportion of children who attend. This latter figure is likely to depend on a variety of factors including geographic region, season of the year, and age of the children involved. In Atlanta, during the summer of 1984, the population attributable risk for day-care attendance varied between 9% and 11% for upper respiratory tract infection and between 10% and 14% for ear infection, depending on child's age (Table 4).

DISCUSSION

Although more than 11 million children in the United States attend some form of day care,[11] estimates of risk have not been available for many of the illnesses to which these children are exposed, and the need for population-based studies has become increasingly apparent.[11,12] In particular, although the association between day-care attendance and infections of the upper respiratory system was suggested more than 35 years ago,[13] the contribution of day-care exposure to overall risk for these diseases has not been defined.

This study was designed to quantify the relation between day-care attendance and risk of childhood upper respiratory tract infections. Controlling for the effect of other risk factors, children in this cohort who were enrolled in day care were substantially more likely to have both upper respiratory tract infection and ear infection. Because these children were randomly selected from the general population, we could calculate that approximately one third of upper respiratory tract infections among day-care attendees and two thirds of ear infections among full-time day-care attendees were directly related to attendance. Because data regarding the proportion of children in the population attending day-care facilities were also available, we were able to estimate that 9% to 14% of all upper respiratory tract infections and ear infections in children less than 5 years of age may occur as a result of day-care attendance, a figure generalizable to other areas to the extent that day-care attendance patterns in Atlanta are similar to attendance patterns elsewhere. These estimates provide a useful assessment of the influence of day-care attendance on the overall risk of upper respiratory tract infection in young children. Respiratory illness results in an estimated 17.4 million physician visits a year in the United States[1] and for otitis media alone, an estimated annual expenditure of more than $2 billion.[14]

These percentages should be interpreted with appropriate caution. Having a child in day care may alter the likelihood that parents will notice and report illness in their children. This study determined a point estimate of risk based on parental reporting of illness during a 2-week period and, as such, should be viewed as only a first step in quantifying the effect of day-care attendance on the incidence of childhood upper respiratory tract infections. Nevertheless, the case definition based on parental reporting can be partially validated by the results of the analysis. If parents were reporting respiratory infections when no illness had occurred, one would not expect to find significant associations with crowding or maternal smoking. The substantial portion of upper respiratory tract infection linked to day-care attendance in this study suggests that it would be useful to determine whether specific etiologic agents may be particularly associated with this risk.

Additional studies that assess risk over season should be undertaken. For example, the risk of upper respiratory tract infection associated with day-care attendance calculated by this study may be a minimum estimate; day-care attendance may be more strongly linked with disease during the winter respiratory illness season when the likelihood of the introduction of upper respiratory tract infection into a day-care facility may be greater. Alternatively, a greater background incidence of viral infection during the winter might reduce the added risk associated with day-care attendance.

Several aspects of analysis other than the relation between upper respiratory tract illness and day-care attendance deserve comment. The similarity of the risk factor models for upper respiratory tract

2023510265

Source: https://www.industrydocuments.ucsf.edu/docs/jfpx0000

infection and ear infection demonstrates the close association between these two illnesses and reaffirms the likely role of upper respiratory tract infections in the pathogenesis of ear infection.[8,10] The data regarding maternal smoking underscore the link between passive exposure to smoke and development of upper respiratory tract infection in children.[15,16] In this study, the proportion of upper respiratory tract infections in children of smoking mothers attributable to this exposure (34%) and the total population attributable risk (10%) were comparable to those calculated for day-care attendance.

As risk factors, however, there is a major difference between maternal smoking and day-care attendance. Whereas maternal smoking is totally preventable, day-care attendance is not. This difference highlights an increasingly obvious dilemma: child day care provides an irreplaceable service; yet, by its nature, it also results in enhanced transmission of infectious illnesses. The most practical approach to this problem—reduction of risk among those children who attend—rests on the assumption that differences in day-care facilities and children's exposures within those facilities may affect degree of risk. For diarrheal disease, this assumption seems warranted; risk has been shown to be influenced by a variety of specific day-care characteristics.[3] Whether the same is true for respiratory disease remains an open question. Identification of specific factors that are associated with increased risk of upper respiratory tract disease within day-care facilities should be a primary goal of future study.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank our telephone interviewers, Patricia Adams, Lela Baughman, Unice Davis, Suzanne Gaventa, and Rubbina Mamdani and the support provided by the Office of Epidemiology, Georgia Department of Human Resources.

REFERENCES

1. Cypress BK: *Patterns of Ambulatory Care in Pediatrics: The National Ambulatory Medical Care Survey*, data from the national health survey, series 13, No. 75, Department of Health and Human Services publication No. (PHS) 84-1736. Government Printing Office, 1983.
2. Hadler SC, Webster HM, Erben JJ, et al: Hepatitis A in day-care centers: A community-wide assessment. *N Engl J Med* 1980;302:1222–1227
3. Sullivan P, Woodward WE, Pickering LK, et al: Longitudinal study of occurrence of diarrheal disease in day care centers. *Am J Public Health* 1984;74:987–991
4. Istre GR, Conner JS, Broome CV, et al: Risk factors for primary invasive *Haemophilus influenzae* disease: Increased risk from day care attendance and school-aged household members. *J Pediatr* 1985;106:190–195
5. Marwick C, Simmons K: Changing childhood disease pattern linked with day-care boom. *JAMA* 1984;251:1245–1251
6. Strangert K: Respiratory illness in preschool children with different forms of day care. *Pediatrics* 1976;57:191–196
7. Strangert K: Otitis media in young children in different types of day-care. *Scand J Infect Dis* 1977;9:119–123
8. Henderson FW, Collier AM, Sanyal MA, et al: A longitudinal study of respiratory viruses and bacteria in the etiology of acute otitis media with effusion. *N Engl J Med* 1982;306:1377–1383
9. Doyle AB: Incidence of illness in early group and family day-care. *Pediatrics* 1976;57:607–612
10. Giebink GS: The pathogenesis of pneumococcal otitis media in chinchillas and the efficacy of vaccination in prophylaxis. *Rev Infect Dis* 1981;3:342–352
11. The Child Day Care Infectious Disease Study Group: Public health considerations of infectious disease in child day care centers. *J Pediatr* 1984;105:683–701
12. Goodman RA, Osterholm MT, Granoff DM, et al: Infectious diseases and child day care. *Pediatrics* 1984;74:134–139
13. Hesselvik L: Respiratory infections among children in day nurseries. *Acta Paediatr Scand* 1949;37(suppl 74):1–103
14. Bluestone CD: Otitis media in children: To treat or not to treat? *N Engl J Med* 1982;306:1399–1404
15. Pedreira FA, Guandolo VL, Feroli EJ, et al: Involuntary smoking and incidence of respiratory illness during the first year of life. *Pediatrics* 1985;75:594–597
16. Bonham GS, Wilson RW: Children's health in families with cigarette smokers. *Am J Public Health* 1981;71:290–293

2023510266

Source: https://www.industrydocuments.ucsf.edu/docs/jfpx0000